

**ФИЗИКА. АСТРОНОМИЯ СЕРИЯСЫ/ PHYSICS. ASTRONOMY SERIES / СЕРИЯ ФИЗИКА. АСТРОНОМИЯ**

IRSTI 29.19.03 scientific article *<DOI: https://doi.org//10.32523/2616-6836-2024-148-3-117-125>*

# **Reanalysis of <sup>20</sup>Ne + 24Mg elastic scattering angular distributions within various interaction potentials**

**Sh. Hamada[1](https://orcid.org/0000-0002-7255-0568)**

*1 Faculty of Science, Tanta University, Tanta, Egypt*

 *(E-mail: sh.m.hamada@science.tanta.edu.eg)*

Abstract: The angular distributions (ADs) for <sup>20</sup>Ne elastically scattered from a <sup>24</sup>Mg target were measured experimentally many years ago at  $E_{lab}$  = 50–100 MeV. Unfortunately, these data received little attention and were analyzed only from a phenomenological perspective at that time. This work is essentially devoted to investigating these data from a microscopic point of view, with a special interest given to the probable  $\alpha$  + <sup>16</sup>O cluster structure of the <sup>20</sup>Ne nucleus. The considered data are fairly well reproduced by the implemented potentials. The study demonstrated the success of the proposed  $\alpha$  + <sup>16</sup>O cluster model of the <sup>20</sup>Ne nucleus in reproducing the considered <sup>20</sup>Ne + <sup>24</sup>Mg ADs over a wide range of energies.

**Keywords:** Density distributions, Elastic scattering, Optical potential, Cluster folding,Brazilian Nuclear potential.

Received 29.08.2024.Revised 04.09.2024.Accepted 05.09.2024. Available online 30.09.2024

## **Introduction**

The traditional view of the nucleus is that it has a uniform distribution of neutrons and protons. However, from the early days of nuclear research, it has been recognized that nucleon clusters, or nuclear clustering, might be crucial for a deeper understanding of nuclear interactions and structure. In the 1950s, Morinaga [1] made a bold prediction that alpha particles could align linearly. He suggested that cluster structures would not be present in the ground state of a nucleus but would emerge as the internal energy of the nucleus increased. Thus, a nucleus needs a certain amount of energy to develop a cluster structure, with these structures becoming evident near or just below the cluster decay threshold energy. Ikeda et al. [2] expected that the cluster structure would become most pronounced at excitation energy *(Ex)* corresponding to specific decay thresholds.

Experimental evidence supporting the concept of clusterization in light nuclei is detailed in M. Freer's work [3]. A clear example is the two alpha-particle system in <sup>8</sup>Be, where the strong

alpha-particle binding energy (~28 MeV) implies that  $^6$ Li and  $^7$ Li nuclei are likely to form  $\alpha$  + dand α + t cluster structures, respectively. The Hoyle state in <sup>12</sup>C, observed at *Ex =* 7.65 MeV, is an exemplary cluster state. Hoyle [4] had predicted this state to explain the cosmic abundance of carbon, and Cook [5] detected it at an energy very close to Hoyle's prediction.

The <sup>20</sup>Ne  $+$  <sup>24</sup>Mg system is particularly intriguing and could provide valuable insights for extracting spectroscopic factors for the <sup>20</sup>Ne  $\rightarrow$  <sup>16</sup>O +  $\alpha$  and <sup>24</sup>Mg  $\rightarrow$  <sup>20</sup>Ne +  $\alpha$ configurations. However, only a few studies [6, 7] have investigated this system, and these studies used predominantly phenomenological approaches. In Ref. [6], angular distributions (ADs) for the <sup>24</sup>Mg (<sup>20</sup>Ne, <sup>20</sup>Ne) <sup>24</sup>Mg at  $E_{lab}$  = 50-100 MeV were measured in the angular range 10° <0c.m.< 75°. These data were described using optical model potentials (OMPs). Reduced absorption and  $\alpha$ -cluster transfer were discussed theoretically but not observed experimentally at this energy range. Volume integrals and total reaction cross-sections for the potentials were also determined. Ref. [7] involved measurements of ADs for a <sup>20</sup>Ne ion beam at 40 MeV elastically scattered from a <sup>24</sup>Mg target. The measured ADs exhibited oscillations associated with the <sup>24</sup>Mg (<sup>20</sup>Ne, <sup>24</sup>Mg)<sup>20</sup>Ne reaction. An *α*-spectroscopic factor (SF) of 0.08  $\pm$  0.02 was derived from the analysis, considering the coherent sum of scattering amplitudes from elastic scattering and α-transfer.

In a previous study [8], the <sup>20</sup>Ne + <sup>24</sup>Mg ADs were analyzed using the São Paulo potential (SPP2) and a cluster folding potential (CFP). The latter was generated based on available phenomenological OMPs for the  $\alpha + {}^{24}Mg$  and  ${}^{16}O + {}^{24}Mg$  channels. In the current study, the  $20$ Ne +  $24$ Mg ADs are reanalyzed using a microscopic CFP and the Brazilian Nuclear Potential (BNP), which is independent of both projectile energy and relative velocity. The CFP used in this study was generated from microscopic  $\alpha + {}^{24}Mg$  and  ${}^{16}O + {}^{24}Mg$  potentials, rather than the phenomenological ones used in Ref. [8].

Overall, the concept of clusterization offers a valuable framework for understanding complex nuclear systems and enhances our knowledge of nuclear physics. This work focuses on exploring the probable  $\alpha$  + <sup>16</sup>O structure model of <sup>20</sup>Ne nucleus and its ability to reproduce the <sup>20</sup>Ne + <sup>24</sup>Mg ADs across a broad energy range within this model. The manuscript is organized as follows: Section II presents the potentials used, Section III covers the analyses and discussion of the results, and Section IV provides a summary and conclusions.

## **Implemented theoretical methods**

The available experimental ADs data for<sup>20</sup>Ne<sup>+24</sup>Mg system at  $E_{lab}$ = 50, 60, 80, 90, and 100 MeV [6] are initially reanalyzed using the BNP, which incorporates the density distributions of the interacting nuclei. Then, the full microscopic cluster folding potential (CFP) is employed to evaluate the accuracy of reproducing the <sup>20</sup>Ne + <sup>24</sup>Mg data using the  $\alpha$  + <sup>16</sup>O model for <sup>20</sup>Ne, a model that has previously demonstrated significant success in describing various nuclear systems induced by <sup>20</sup>Ne [8-10].

## **BNP**

To eliminate parameter ambiguities associated with OMP calculations, the more microscopic Brazilian Nuclear potential (BNP)was employed to generate the real part of the potential. This  $\,$ was done by folding the projectile  $(\rho_{_{p}})$  and target  $(\rho_{_{t}})$  density distributions, obtained from the Dirac-Hartree-Bogoliubov model [11], with an effective potential. Recently, L.C. Chamon et al. [12] suggested two models for the nuclear potential between interacting nuclei: theSão Paulo potential (SPP2), which depends on the relative velocity of the nuclei, and the BNP, which is independent of both projectile energy and relative velocity. The effective nucleon-nucleon *(NN)* interactions for the SPP2 and BNP aregiven by the following formulas: the accuracy of reproducing the 20Ne + 24Mg data using the *α* + 16O model for 20Ne, a model that has nate parameter ambiguities associated with OMP calculations, the more microscopic ted two models for the nuclear potential between interacting nuclei: the São Paulo Brazilian Nuclear potential (Boundary Processor interacting investor theorem I amo done by following the projective velocity of the intelet, and the Divr, which is *A. BNP* ig the projectile  $(\boldsymbol p_p^{})$  and target  $(\boldsymbol p_t^{})$  density distributions, obtained from the  $SPP2$ ), which depends on the relative velocity of the nuclei, and the BNP, which is. suggested two models in the nuclear potential between interactions of the nucleon interaction. (SPP2), which depends on the relative velocity of the checker method. both projective energy and relative velocity. The effective numerical connucleon-

$$
\nu_{NN}^{SPP2}(R) = -U_o e^{\left(-\frac{R}{a}\right)^2} e^{\left(-\frac{4v^2}{c^2}\right)}\tag{1}
$$

with  $U_o$ =735.813 MeV, a=0.5 fm, v representing the relative velocity between the interacting nuclei, andc being the speed of light.  $\blacksquare$ 735.813 MeV, a=0.5 fm, y representing the relative velocity between the interacting nuclei, and being the speed of light.  $\mathcal{L}$ <sup> $\mathcal{L}$ </sup>

$$
v_{NN}^{BNP}(R) = -U_0 e^{\left(-\frac{R}{a}\right)^2}
$$
 (2)

,  $\alpha$ 

with *U*<sub>0</sub>=87.226MeV and a=0.95 fm. with  $\mathcal{L} = \mathcal{L} \mathcal{$ 

Data optimization was performed by minimizing the  $\chi^2$  value, which quantifies the discrepancy between the data and the theoretical results. The calculations and parameter optimization were carried out using the **FRESCO** and **SFRESCO** code [13]. It is worth noting that in a previous study [8], these ADs data for the <sup>20</sup>Ne + <sup>24</sup>Mg system were analyzed within the SPP2 potential. Therefore, it is of interest to compare the SPP2 and BNP potentials in reproducing the considered data. **FRESCO and SFRESCO** considered out using the set of  $\mathbf{R}$ for the condition of additional for the 20Ne + 24Mg system with the 20Ne + 24Mg system with the SPP22 system wit

### **CFP**

The study advances by aiming to match the experimental data for the elastic scattering of <sup>20</sup>Ne + <sup>24</sup>Mg ADs using a cluster folding model (CFM). This approach is inspired by the  $\alpha$  + <sup>16</sup>O cluster structure in the ground state of the <sup>20</sup>Ne. In the CFM framework, both the real and imaginary components of the potential are developed using the cluster folding technique. To conduct the calculations for the <sup>20</sup>Ne + <sup>24</sup>Mg system, it is essential to establish the potentials for the  $\alpha$  + <sup>24</sup>Mg and <sup>16</sup>O + <sup>24</sup>Mg channelsas well as the binding potential for the  $\alpha$  + <sup>16</sup>O configuration in the <sup>20</sup>Ne nucleus. The real and imaginary components of the  $^{20}$ Ne + <sup>24</sup>Mg potential are derived from these  $\alpha$  + <sup>24</sup>Mg and <sup>16</sup>O + <sup>24</sup>Mg potentials as follows: structure in the ground state of the 200. In the CFM framework, both the real and include the real and inaccent

$$
V^{CF}(\mathbf{R}) = \int \left[ V_{\alpha^{24}Mg} \left( \mathbf{R} \cdot \frac{4}{5} \mathbf{r} \right) + V_{16_0 24 Mg} \left( \mathbf{R} + \frac{1}{5} \mathbf{r} \right) \right] \left| \chi_0(\mathbf{r}) \right|^2 d\mathbf{r},\tag{3}
$$

$$
W^{CF}(\mathbf{R}) = \int \left[ W_{\alpha^{24}Mg} \left( \mathbf{R} - \frac{4}{5} \mathbf{r} \right) + W_{16_0 24} \left( \mathbf{R} + \frac{1}{5} \mathbf{r} \right) \right] \left| \chi_0(\mathbf{r}) \right|^2 d\mathbf{r}, \tag{4}
$$

<u>.</u>

 $\overline{\phantom{a}}$ 

 $\overline{a}$ 

 $\overline{1}$ 

 $\overline{1}$ 

<sup>+</sup> <sup>+</sup>

 $\overline{1}$ 

 $\overline{a}$ 

<u>(3) - Andrea Andrew Maria (1985)</u><br>1990 - Andrew Maria (1986), politik eta alderdizion (h. 1986)<br>1991 - Andrew Maria (h. 1986), politik eta alderdizion (h. 1986)

 $\overline{\phantom{a}}$  ,  $\overline{\phantom{a}}$ 

 $\overline{a}$ 

where  $V_{\alpha^{-24}Mg}$ ,  $V_{^{16}O^{-24}Mg}$ ,  $W_{\alpha^{-24}Mg}$ , and  $W_{^{16}O^{-24}Mg}$  are the potentials for  $\alpha + ^{24}Mg$  and  $^{16}O + ^{24}Mg$ channels, which reproduce the experimental data at  $E_{\alpha} \approx 1/5 E_{Ne}$  and  $E^{16}O \approx 4/5 E_{Ne}$  eprepared within the BNP using standard normalizations of 1.0 and 0.78 for the real and imaginary potential parts, respectively. The term is the intercluster wave function for the relative motion of  $\alpha$  and <sup>16</sup>O in the ground state of <sup>20</sup>Ne, and is the relative coordinate between the centers of mass of  $\alpha$  and <sup>16</sup>0. The bound state form factor  $\alpha$  + <sup>16</sup>0 represents a 5S state, is taken from Ref. [14]. The real and imaginary components of the cluster folding potential used in the current  $1 - -1$ work are illustrated in Fig. 1. the BNP using standard normalizations of  $1.0$  and  $0.79$  for the real and imaginary respectively. The term χ ( ) <sup>0</sup> **r** is the intercluster wave function for the relative motion of *α* and 16O in the ground state of <sup>20</sup>Ne, and *is* the relative coordinate between the centers of The bound state of the and is the relative coordinate between the centers of a 5<sup>2</sup>S state and Ref. **The real and Ref.** The real and Ref. **The real and Ref.** The real and Ref. **The real and Ref.** The real and Ref. **The re** 

4 ( ) - 2 ( ) - 2 ( ) - 2 ( ) - 2 ( ) - 2 ( ) - 2 ( ) - 2 ( ) - 2 ( ) - 2 ( ) - 2 ( ) - 2 ( ) - 2 ( ) - 2 ( ) <br>- 2 ( ) - 2 ( ) - 2 ( ) - 2 ( ) - 2 ( ) - 2 ( ) - 2 ( ) - 2 ( ) - 2 ( ) - 2 ( ) - 2 ( ) - 2 ( ) - 2 ( ) - 2 ( <sup>24</sup> Mg 16O 24 Mg <sup>0</sup> *<sup>V</sup> <sup>V</sup> <sup>V</sup> <sup>d</sup>* <sup>r</sup> *CF* **<sup>R</sup> <sup>R</sup> <sup>r</sup> <sup>R</sup> <sup>r</sup> <sup>r</sup>**

<sup>4</sup> ( )- <sup>2</sup> <sup>24</sup> Mg 16O 24 Mg <sup>0</sup> *<sup>V</sup> <sup>V</sup> Vd* <sup>r</sup>*CF* **<sup>R</sup> <sup>R</sup> <sup>r</sup> <sup>R</sup> <sup>r</sup> <sup>r</sup>**

<sup>4</sup> ( ) - <sup>2</sup> <sup>24</sup> Mg 16O 24 Mg <sup>0</sup> *<sup>V</sup> <sup>V</sup> <sup>V</sup> <sup>d</sup>*r*CF* **<sup>R</sup> <sup>R</sup> <sup>r</sup> <sup>R</sup> <sup>r</sup> <sup>r</sup>**

<u>4 ( ) - 2 ( ) - 2 ( ) - 2 ( ) - 2 ( ) - 2 ( ) - 2 ( ) - 2 ( ) - 2 ( ) - 2 ( ) - 2 ( ) - 2 ( ) - 2 ( ) - 2 ( )</u> <sup>24</sup> Mg 16O 24 Mg <sup>0</sup> *<sup>V</sup> <sup>V</sup> <sup>V</sup> <sup>d</sup>* <sup>r</sup> *CF* **<sup>R</sup> <sup>R</sup> <sup>r</sup> <sup>R</sup> <sup>r</sup> <sup>r</sup>**

<sup>=</sup>

 $=$ 

j.

<sup>=</sup>

<sup>=</sup>

<sup>=</sup>

<u>4 ( ) - 2 ( ) - 2 ( ) - 2 ( ) - 2 ( ) - 2 ( ) - 2 ( ) - 2 ( ) - 2 ( ) - 2 ( ) - 2 ( ) - 2 ( ) - 2 ( ) - 2 ( )</u> <sup>24</sup> Mg 16O 24 Mg <sup>0</sup> *<sup>V</sup> <sup>V</sup> <sup>V</sup> <sup>d</sup>* <sup>r</sup> *CF* **<sup>R</sup> <sup>R</sup> <sup>r</sup> <sup>R</sup> rr**



Fig. 1: The real and imaginary CFPs for the <sup>20</sup>Ne + <sup>24</sup>Mg system

#### **Results and Discussions 3. Results and Discussions**  $\mathbf{f}$

#### *<sup>20</sup>Ne + <sup>24</sup>Mg data analysis using BNP* **3. Results and Discussions**  $\bm{z}$  depends in Fig. 2, the experimental ADS for  $\bm{z}$  and  $\bm{z}$  are compared with the original with the original  $\bm{z}$  and  $\bm{z}$  are compared with the original with the original  $\bm{z}$  and  $\bm{z}$  are compare

As depicted in Fig. 2, the experimental ADs for  $^{20}$ Ne +  $^{24}$ Mg are compared with theoretical calculations using a real BNP component and an imaginary potential, which is a factor multiplied by the real BNP. This approach, referred to as BNP Real + BNPImag, involves fitting the data with two adjustable parameters: NRand NI, which are normalization factors for the real and imaginary BNP strengths. The optimal extracted parameters using this method are listed in Table I. The total potential is expressed as follows: potential is expressed as follows: *Enguis. The optimal extracted paramete*<br>otential is expressed as follows: *B*. The optimal extracted parameters using this inethod are<br>al is expressed as follows:  $T$  and the data and theoretical calculations is generally good across the entire entire

$$
U(R) = V_C(R) - N_R V^{BNP}(R) - i N_I V^{BNP}(R)
$$
\n(5)

**120 №3(148)/ 2024**

The agreement between the data and the data and theoretical calculations is generally good across the entire entire

are close to the previous ly extracted values  $0.87\pm0.18$  and  $0.7\pm0.18$  from previous analysis  $[8]$ 

The agreement between the data and theoretical calculations is generally good across the entire angular range, as shown in Fig. 2, using the potential parameters listed in Table I. The data is well fitted using an average extracted  $N_{_R}$  and  $N_{_I}$  values are 0.74±0.14 and 0.75±0.1, respectively, which are close to the previously extracted values 0.87±0.18 and 0.75±0.1 from previous analysis [8] within SPP2. The experimental angular distribution reveals a Coulombnuclear interference peak, which shifts toward smaller scattering angles as the bombarding energy increases.



Fig. 2: Comparison between <sup>20</sup>Ne+<sup>24</sup>Mg ADs and calculations within BNP at  $E_{_{lab}}$ = 50-100 MeV nparison between <sup>20</sup>Ne+<sup>24</sup>Mg ADs and calculations within BNP at  $E_{_{lab}}$ = 50-100 MeV

## <sup>20</sup>Ne + <sup>24</sup>Mg data analysis using CFM at  $F_1$  and calculations with BNP at  $F_2$  and  $F_3$ *B. 20Ne + 24Mg data analysis using CFM*

As illustrated in Fig. 3, the comparison between the experimental ADs for the <sup>20</sup>Ne+<sup>24</sup>Mg system and the theoretical calculations using CFP model is quite accurate when employing the optimal extracted parameters listed in Table I. In this analysis, the data is fitted using two parameters  $N_{_R}$  and  $N_{_P}$  which represent the normalization factors for the real and imaginary parts of the CFP, as shown in Fig. 1. The total potential is described by the following form: and *incorduction diremations doing* on a moder to quite decurate their emproying<br>a extracted parameters listed in Table I. In this analysis, the data is fitted using two system and the theoretical calculations using the theoretical calculations using  $\mathcal{F} = \mathcal{F} =$ ers  $N_{_R}$  and  $N_{_{I^\prime}}$  which represent the normalization factors for the real and imaginary As illustrated in Fig. 3, the comparison between the experimental ADs for the 20Ne+24Mg rated in Fig. 5, the comparison between the experimental ADS for the  $\lceil \text{net} \rceil$  mg

the CFP, as shown in Fig. 1. The total potential is described by the following form:

$$
U(R) = V_C(R) - N_R V^{CFP}(R) - i N_I V^{CFP}(R)
$$
\n(6)

The average values extracted for NR and NIwithinthe CFP model are  $0.81\pm0.09$  and 0.86±0.38, respectively. While those extracted from previous study [8] are 0.61±0.08 and 1.46±0.26.



Fig. 3: Comparison between <sup>20</sup>Ne+<sup>24</sup>Mg ADs and calculations within CFP at  $\rm E_{\rm lab}$ = 50-100 MeV

Table I: Optimal potential parameters for 20Ne + 24Mg system at different energies extracted from **Table I: Optimal potential parameters for 20Ne + 24Mg system at different energies extracted**  the analyses within BNP and CFP **from the analyses within BNP and CFP.** 



## **Summary**

In summary, the angular distributions for elastic scattering of stable <sup>20</sup>Ne a<sup>24</sup>Mg target at energies ranging from 50 to 100 MeV show a classical Fresnel diffraction scattering pattern, asdepicted in Figs. 2 and 3. However, a distinct deviation from this pattern is observed in case of elastic scattering involving  $\sigma$  bally-discrete  $\sigma$  in  $\sigma$  is the particle in  $\sigma$  nucleus ging from 50 to 100 mev show a classical fresher diffraction scattering pattern,<br>n Eige 2 and 2 Houwers a distinct doviation from this nattorn is observed in  $\frac{1}{1}$  is  $\frac{1}{2}$  and  $\frac{1}{3}$ . However, a distinct deviation from this pattern is observed in

the case of elastic scattering involving weakly-bound nuclei, such as  $11Be$  one-neutron halo nucleus [15], when interacting with different targets like <sup>64</sup>Zn [16], <sup>120</sup>Sn [17], <sup>197</sup>Au [18], and  $209$ Bi [19]. These interactions exhibit significant suppression of the Fresnel peak due to the break-up effects. Theoretical calculations within CFM, which is based on the *α +* <sup>16</sup>O cluster structure for <sup>20</sup>Ne, successfully reproduce the considered data, providingevidence supporting this proposed structural model for the <sup>20</sup>Ne nucleus.

## **References**

1. H. Morinaga. Interpretation of Some of the Excited States of 4n Self-Conjugate Nuclei //Phys. Rev. 101, 254(1956), DOI: https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRev.101.254.

2. K. Ikeda, N. Tagikawa, and H. Horiuchi. The Systematic Structure-Change into the Molecule-like Structures in the Self-Conjugate 4n Nuclei //Prog. Theo. Phys. Suppl. E68, 464-475 (1968), DOI:https:// doi.org/10.1143/PTPS.E68.464.

3. M. Freer. The clustered nucleus-cluster structures in stable and unstable nuclei // Reports on Progress in Physics 70, 2149 (2007), DOI:10.1088/0034-4885/70/12/R03

4. F. Hoyle. On Nuclear Reactions Occuring in Very Hot STARS.I. the Synthesis of Elements from Carbon to Nickel // Astrophys.J.Suppl. 1, 121-146 (1954), DOI: 10.1086/190005

5. C. Cook, W. A. Fowler and T. Lauritsen.12B, 12C, and the Red Giants // Phys. Rev. 107, 508 (1957), DOI:https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRev.107.508

6. P. Belery, Th. Delbar, Gh. Grégoire, K. Grotowski, N. S. Wall, T. Kozik, and S. Micek. Elastic scattering of 20Ne+24Mg at Elab=50, 60, 80, 90, and 100 MeV // Phys. Rev. C 23, 2503 (1981), DOI:https://doi. org/10.1103/PhysRevC.23.2503

7. W. J. Naudé, H. S. Bradlow, O. Dietzsch, A. A. Pilt, W. D. M. Rae and D. Sinclair. Elastic scattering of 20Ne on 24Mg // Z. Phys. A - Atoms and Nuclei 311, 297-302 (1983), DOI:https://doi.org/10.1007/ BF01415684

8. Sh. Hamada, A.H. Al-Ghamdi, Arafa A. Alholaisi, Awad A. Ibraheem, N. Amangeldi, Y. Abdou. Analysis of elastic scattering of 6,7Li and 20Ne from 24Mg nucleus using microscopic potentials // Int.J.Mod.Phys.E 32, 2350015 (2023), DOI: 10.1142/S0218301323500155

9. Sh. Hamada, N. Keeley, K. W. Kemper and K. Rusek. Cluster folding analysis of 20Ne+16O elastic transfer // Phys. Rev. C97, 054609 (2018), DOI:10.1103/PhysRevC.97.054609

10. Sh. Hamada, Nourhan M. Elmedalaa, I. Bondouk, N. Darwish, Awad A. Ibraheem. Role of α-Cluster Transfer in the Formation of 20Ne + 16O Cross-Sections at Backward Angles // Braz.J.Phys. 51, 780- 787 (2021) 3, DOI:10.1007/s13538-021-00900-z

11. B. V. Carlson and D. Hirata. Dirac-Hartree-Bogoliubov approximation for finite nuclei // Phys. Rev. C62, 054310 (2000), DOI: https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevC.62.054310

12. L. C. Chamon, B. V Carlson, and L. R. Gasques. São Paulo potential version 2 (SPP2) and Brazilian nuclear potential (BNP) // Comput. Phys. Commun. 267, 108061 (2021), DOI: https://doi. org/10.1016/j.cpc.2021.108061

13. I. J. Thompson. Coupled reaction channels calculations in nuclear physics // Comput. Phys. Rep.7, 167 (1988), DOI: https://doi.org/10.1016/0167-7977(88)90005-6

14. B. Buck, J. C. Johnston, A. C. Merchant, S. M. Perez. Unified treatment of scattering and cluster structure in α+closed shell nuclei: 20Ne and 44Ti // Phys. Rev. C 52, 1840 (1995), DOI: https://doi. org/10.1103/PhysRevC.52.1840

15. T. Aumann, A. Navin, D. P. Balamuth, D. Bazin, B. Blank, B. A. Brown, J. E. Bush, J. A. Caggiano, B. Davids, T. Glasmacher, V. Guimarães, P. G. Hansen, R. W. Ibbotson, D. Karnes, J. J. Kolata, V. Maddalena, B. Pritychenko, H. Scheit, B. M. Sherrill, J. A. Tostevin. One-Neutron Knockout from Individual Single-Particle States of 11Be // Phys. Rev. Lett. 84, 35 (2000), DOI: https://doi.org/10.1103/ PhysRevLett.84.35

16. Di Pietro, V. Scuderi, A. M. Moro, L. Acosta, F. Amorini, M. J. G. Borge, P. Figuera, M. Fisichella, L. M. Fraile, J. Gomez-Camacho, H. Jeppesen, M. Lattuada, I. Martel, M. Milin, A. Musumarra, M. Papa, M. G. Pellegriti, F. Perez-Bernal, R. Raabe, G. Randisi, F. Rizzo, G. Scalia, O. Tengblad, D. Torresi, A. Maira Vidal, D. Voulot, F. Wenander, M. Zadro. Experimental study of the collision 11Be + 64Zn around the Coulomb barrier // Phys. Rev. C. 85, 054607 (2012), DOI: https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevC.85.054607

17. L. Acosta, M. A. G. Alvarez, M. V. Andres, M. J. G. Borge, M.Cortes, J. M. Espino, D. Galaviz, J. Gomez-Camacho, A. Maira, I. Martel, A. M. Moro, I. Mukha, F. Perez-Bernal, E. Reillo, D. Rodriguez,K. Rusek,A.M.Sanchez-Benitez, O. Tengblad. Signature of a strong coupling with the continuum in 11Be + 120Sn scattering at the Coulomb barrier // Eur. Phys. J. A42, 461–464 (2009), DOI: 10.1140/epja/ i2009-10822-6

18. V. Pesudo, M. J. G. Borge, A. M. Moro, J. A. Lay, E. Nácher, J. Gómez-Camacho, O. Tengblad, L. Acosta, M. Alcorta, M. A. G. Alvarez, C. Andreoiu, P. C. Bender, R. Braid, M. Cubero, A. Di Pietro, J. P. Fernández-García, P. Figuera, M. Fisichella, B. R. Fulton, A. B. Garnsworthy, G. Hackman, U. Hager, O. S. Kirsebom, K. Kuhn, M. Lattuada, G. Marquínez-Durán, I. Martel, D. Miller, M. Moukaddam, P. D. O'Malley, A. Perea, M. M. Rajabali, A. M. Sánchez-Benítez, F. Sarazin, V. Scuderi, C. E. Svensson, C. Unsworth, and Z. M. Wang. Scattering of the Halo Nucleus 11Be on 197Au at Energies around the Coulomb Barrier // Phys. Rev. Lett. 118, 152502 (2017), DOI: https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.118.152502

19. M. Mazzocco, C. Signorini, M. Romoli,R.Bonetti, A. De Francesco, A. De Rosa, M. DiPietro, L. Fortunato, T. Glodariu, A. Guglielmetti, G. Inglima, T. Ishikawa, H. Ishiyama, R. Kanungo, N. Khai, S. Jeong, M. La Commara, B. Martin, H. Miyatake, T. Motobayashi, T. Nomura, D. Pierroutsakou, M. Sandoli, F. Soramel, L. Stroe, I. Sugai, M. H. Tanaka, E. Vardaci, Y. Watanabe, A. Yoshida, K. Yoshida. Elastic scattering for the system <sup>11</sup>Be + <sup>209</sup>Bi at Coulomb barrier energies // Eur. Phys. J. SpecialTopics 150, 37–40 (2007), DOI: 10.1140/epjst/e2007-00260-2

## **Ш. Хамада**

*Факультет естественных наук, Университет Танта, Танта, Египет (E-mail: sh.m.hamada@science.tanta.edu.eg)*

## **Повторный анализ угловых распределений упругого рассеяния <sup>20</sup>Ne + <sup>24</sup>Mg в различных потенциалах взаимодействия**

**Аннотация.** Угловые распределения (УР) для упруго рассеянного 20Ne от мишени <sup>24</sup>Mg были измерены экспериментально много лет назад при *Elab* = 50–100 МэВ. К сожалению, эти данные получили мало внимания и были проанализированы только с феноменологической точки зрения в то время. Эта работа в основном посвящена исследованию этих данных с микроскопической точки зрения, при этом особый интерес уделяется вероятной кластерной структуре α + <sup>16</sup>Ο ядра <sub>20</sub>Ne. Рассмотренные данные достаточно хорошо воспроизводятся реализованными потенциалами. Исследование продемонстрировало успешность предложенной кластерной модели α + <sup>16</sup>Ο ядра <sup>20</sup>Ne в воспроизведении рассматриваемых AD<sup>20</sup>Ne + <sup>24</sup>Mg в широком диапазоне энергий.

**Ключевые слова:** распределение плотности, упругое рассеяние, оптический потенциал, кластерное соединение, Бразильский ядерный потенциал.

Номер(а) PACS: «21.10.Jx, 21.60.Cs, 24.10.Eq, 25.70.Hi»

## **Ш. Хамада**

*Жаратылыстану факультеті, Танта университеті, Танта, Египет (E-mail: sh.m.hamada@science.tanta.edu.eg)*

## **Әртүрлі өзара әрекеттесу потенциалдарында <sup>20</sup>Ne +<sup>24</sup>Mg серпімді шашыраудың бұрыштық үлестірімдерін қайта талдау**

**Аңдатпа.** <sup>24</sup>Mg нысанасынан серпімді шашыраңқы 20Ne үшін бұрыштық үлестірулер (у) көптеген жылдар бұрын *Elab* = 50-100 МэВ кезінде эксперименталды түрде өлшенді. Өкінішке орай, бұл мәліметтерге назар азаударылды және сол кезде тек феноменологиялық тұрғыдан талданды. Бұл жұмыс негізінен осы деректерді микроскопиялық тұрғыдан зерттеуге бағытталған, 20NE ядросының α + <sup>16</sup>O кластерлік құрылымына ерекше қызығушылық танытады. Қарастырылған деректер іске асырылған әлеуеттермен жақсы ойнатылады.<br>Зерттеу ұсынылған α + <sup>16</sup>0<sup>20</sup>NE ядросының кластерлік моделінің қарастырылып отырған ad  $^{20}$ NE +  $^{24}$ MG-ді кең энергия диапазонында ойнаудағы сәттілігін көрсетті.

**Түйін сөздер:** тығыздықтың таралуы, серпімді шашырау, оптикалық потенциал, кластерлік байланыс, бразилиялық ядролық потенциал

## **Information about authors:**

*Sh. Hamada –* corresponding author, Faculty of Science, Tanta University, Tanta, Egypt

## **Сведения об авторах:**

*Ш. Хамада –* автор для корреспонденции, Факультет естественных наук, Университет Танта, Танта, Египет.

## **Авторлар туралы мәліметтер:**

*Ш. Хамада –* корреспондент автор, Жаратылыстану ғылымдары факультеті, Танта университеті, Танта, Египет



Copyright: © 2024 by the authors. Submitted for possible open access publication under the terms and conditions of the Creative Commons Attribution (CC BY NC) license (https://creativecommons. org/licenses/by-nc/4.0/).